

FILED BY *JL* D.C.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
WESTERN DIVISION

05 MAY 23 PM 2:55

ROBERT R. DI TROlio
CLERK U.S. DIST. CT.
W.D. OF TN. MEMPHIS

GEORGE MORROW,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.) Civ. No. 04-2614-Ma/P
BURCH AGENCY/NORTHWESTERN)
MUTUAL,)
Defendant.)

SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to written notice, a scheduling conference was held May 19, 2005. Present were George Morrow, *pro se*, and Samuel Jackson (via telephone) counsel for defendant. At the conference, the following dates were established as the final dates for:

INITIAL DISCLOSURES PURSUANT TO Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1):
July 1, 2005

JOINING PARTIES: August 1, 2005

AMENDING PLEADINGS: August 1, 2005

INITIAL MOTIONS TO DISMISS: August 1, 2005

COMPLETING ALL DISCOVERY: February 1, 2006

(a) DOCUMENT PRODUCTION: February 1, 2006

This document entered on the docket sheet in compliance
with Rule 58 and/or 79(a) FRCP on 5-24-05

16

(b) DEPOSITIONS, INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS: February 1, 2006

(c) EXPERT WITNESS DISCLOSURE (Rule 26) :

(1) DISCLOSURE OF PLAINTIFF'S RULE 26 EXPERT INFORMATION: December 1, 2005

(2) DISCLOSURE OF DEFENDANT'S RULE 26 EXPERT INFORMATION: January 2, 2006

(3) EXPERT WITNESS DEPOSITIONS: February 1, 2006

FILING DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS: March 1, 2006

OTHER RELEVANT MATTERS:

No depositions may be scheduled to occur after the discovery cutoff date. All motions, requests for admissions, or other filings that require a response must be filed sufficiently in advance of the discovery cutoff date to enable opposing counsel to respond by the time permitted by the Rules prior to that date.

Motions to compel discovery are to be filed and served by the discovery deadline or within 30 days of the default or the service of the response, answer, or objection, which is the subject of the motion, if the default occurs within 30 days of the discovery deadline, unless the time for filing of such motion is extended for good cause shown, or the objection to the default, response, answer, or objection shall be waived.

This case is set for a jury trial. The pretrial order date, pretrial conference date, and trial date will be set by the presiding judge. It is anticipated that the trial will last approximately two (2) days.

The parties may consent to trial before the Magistrate Judge. The Magistrate Judge can normally provide the parties with a definite trial date that will not be continued unless a continuance is agreed to by all parties, or an emergency arises which precludes the matter from proceeding the trial.

The parties are reminded that pursuant to Local Rule 11(a)(1)(A), all motions, except motions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12, 56, 59, and 60 shall be accompanied by a proposed order.

The opposing party may file a response to any motion filed in this matter. Neither party may file an additional reply, however, without leave of the court. If a party believes that a reply is

necessary, it shall file a motion for leave to file a reply accompanied by a memorandum setting forth the reasons for which a reply is required.

At this time, the parties have not given consideration to whether they wish to consent to trial before the magistrate judge. The parties will file a written consent form with the court should they decide to proceed before the magistrate judge.

This order has been entered after consultation with trial counsel pursuant to notice. Absent good cause shown, the scheduling dates set by this order will not be modified or extended.


IT IS SO ORDERED.



TU M. PHAM
United States Magistrate Judge

5/23/05

Date



Notice of Distribution

This notice confirms a copy of the document docketed as number 16 in case 2:04-CV-02614 was distributed by fax, mail, or direct printing on May 24, 2005 to the parties listed.

John R. Tarpley
LEWIS KING KRIEG & WALDROP, PC
201 4th Avenue North
Ste. 1500
P.O. Box 198615
Nashville, TN 37219

George Morrow
934 Pearce
Memphis, TN 38107

Honorable Samuel Mays
US DISTRICT COURT